

HIV Community Planning Council
COUNCIL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE
Tuesday, July 11, 2017
25 Van Ness, 8th Floor Conference Room
3:00-5:00 pm

Committee Members Present: Chuck Adams (Co-Chair), Jackson Bowman (Co-Chair), Matt Geltmaker, David Gonzalez, Lee Jewell, Don Soto

Committee Members Absent: Ben Cabangun [E], Michael Discepola [E], Charles Siron [E], Linda Walubengo [E]

Council Members Present: Jose Luis Guzman, Mike Shriver

Others Present: Kevin Hutchcroft (HHS), Nyesha Underwood (CHEP)

Support Staff Present: Ali Cone, Dave Jordan, Mark Molnar, Liz Stumm

Minutes

1. Introductions

The meeting was called to order 3:11 pm by Co-Chair Adams. Everyone introduced themselves and quorum was established.

2. Review/Approve July 11th 2017 DRAFT Agenda – VOTE

The July 11th 2017 DRAFT Agenda was reviewed, and approved by consensus.

3. Review/Approve June 13th 2017 DRAFT Minutes – VOTE

The June 13th 2017 DRAFT Minutes were reviewed, and approved by consensus.

4. Announcements

- Kevin Hutchcroft announced that there is a training workshop on Friday morning about group leadership. If you are interested in attending, you can sign up with him.
- CM Geltmaker noted that the Council may not have a good understanding of the history of how San Mateo and Marin are connected with Care and Prevention. He is concerned that the counties may not be connected on the prevention side with the new FOA. Six years ago the 12.12.01 came out, and how the CDC looked at allocations was around numbers of incidence. At that time, San Mateo and Marin had the assumption that prevention dollars came from Sacramento and would be the same amount whether the counties were part of the SFEMA or with Sacramento. We found out that if we stayed with Sacramento we would receive \$120,000 instead of \$400,000. The SFEMA allowed us to join because the more money coming into the area would allow us to provide more services. The new FOA is concerning because it has very vague language. He has reached out to Tracey Packer to try and get clarity. He noted that if the counties go back to the State there will be less money coming to the Bay Area from Prevention.
 - CM Gonzalez noted that the funding allocation equation is murky, San Francisco is taking a huge cut. The surveillance piece in the FOA is startling and convoluted.
 - CM Jewell stated that he can ask Ernst Hopkins about the FOA to get more clarity.

5. Public Comment

- None.

6. CDC FOA Update

- The committee reviewed a presentation from Co-Chair Guzman.
- The group discussed the Prevention Network Slide.
 - CM Jewell would like the agency acronyms defined.
 - The group requested that the slide be re-named DPH funded Prevention Network to be more clear.
- CS Molnar suggested having an additional slide with non-DPH funded agencies that provide HIV testing.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that the full inventory for that would be difficult because San Francisco is a research city that provides a vast amount of testing sites.
- Co-Chair Bowman suggested creating a provider resource guide for prevention services.
- Co-Chair Bowman inquired about what it means to opt out of an activity.
 - Co-Chair Guzman clarified that opting out of an activity has to be justified. Some of the activities are already funded by other sources.
- CS Cone inquired about who decides what demonstration projects to conduct in San Francisco.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that the health department decides what demo projects to conduct but will clarify what the decision making process looks like.
- Co-Chair Bowman inquired about the “What does this mean boxes?” Will this group be able to review what will be in those boxes before the presentation?
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that he was planning to send this presentation out on the Wednesday before the Full Council.
 - The group noted that the final presentation can be reviewed at the Steering committee.
- Co-Chair Bowman inquired how the CDC funding ranges from this year compared to last year.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that we are still analyzing the numbers.
 - The group discussed how the language of the FOA only mentions the City and County of San Francisco and not San Mateo and Marin.
 - Clarification will be provided by Tracey Packer once there is more information.
- The group discussed the “How is the HCPC’s voice represented in the application slide?”
 - Co-Chair Bowman noted that the title of the slide is confusing, he questioned how the Council’s voice is being represented in the application process if they will not be a part of the process.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that DPH is informed by the Council through the Integrated Plan that was created in part with the Council. There will be informational meetings and listening sessions to get feedback on the FOA.
 - The group requested to be more specific about what community input looks like.
 - CS Molnar noted that the grant application for Ryan White funds has a parallel process. The application is not done by the Council and they are informed about the informed at meetings.
- CM Shriver suggested that the 2016 new diagnoses slide be broken up to be more digestible.
- The group discussed whether this presentation will allow for enough time for discussion.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that there will be time for discussion in the listening sessions.
 - The group discussed how not all Council members will be able to attend the informational sessions. Having enough time for a discussion at the Full Council meeting will allow for the most representation of Council members.
 - CM Gonzalez suggested calling a special workgroup to provide feedback on the FOA.
 - Co-Chair Guzman clarified that the “Community Planning” section of the presentation is not specific to the FOA but was intended to start the conversation of a 5 year plan for prevention.

- Co-Chair Bowman suggested moving the small group merge discussion to another time to allow more time to discuss 5 year prevention plan in this presentation.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that he will have to check with Thomas. He feels that doing a focus group with 40 plus people would be difficult.
 - The group discussed breaking out into small groups to discuss this.
 - Co-Chair Guzman noted that Thomas is traveling and cannot attend the Steering meeting before the Full Council.
- The group discussed removing the FOA portion from the 5 year prevention plan section to remove potential confusion.
 - CS Molnar noted that pushing the prevention plan discussion to August would be difficult because many Council members will be out for a conference.
 - CM Shriver noted that the FOA discussion is more time sensitive. Combining the FOA portion with the prevention plan discussion blurs together what the Council is supposed to do. It is quality control vs. community planning.
- The group discussed having Thomas’ 5 year prevention plan discussion after the Summit.
- The Steering Committee will review the updated presentation and Co-Chairs will come up with guided questions for a structured dialogue.

7. Next Meeting Date & Agenda Item- VOTE

The next Council Affairs Committee meeting is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, August 8th, 2017 at 25 Van Ness 8th Floor Conference Room from 3-5 pm.

Parking Lot:

- Public policy conversation, discuss what might happen with the repeal of ACA.

10. Adjournment

- The meeting was adjourned at 5:08 pm by Co-Chair Adams.

Council Affairs Committee

HIV Community Planning Council

Roll Call: **P**=Present; **A**=Absent; **E**=Excused; **L**=Leave of Absence

Votes: **Y**=Yes; **N**=No; **B**=Abstain; **R**=Recused (deduct from quorum)

July 11, 2017

roll [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]

1.	Chuck Adams	P									
2.	Jackson Bowman	P									
3.	Ben Cabangun	E									
4.	Michael Discepola	E									
5.	Matt Geltmaker	P									
6.	David Gonzalez	P									

7.	Lee Jewell	P									
8.	Charles Siron	E									
9.	Don Soto	P									
10.	Linda Walubengo	E									
1.	Jose Luis Guzman	P									
2.	Mike Shriver	P									
3.											

Ayes										
Nayes										
Abstain										
Recusal										