# **HIV Collaborative Planning Work Group**

Thursday, May 9 2013 25 Van Ness, Suite 330 10AM – 1PM

**Work Group Members Present:** Richard Bargetto, Jackson Bowman, Dean Goodwin, Jose Luis Guzman, Ron Hernandez, Kevin Hutchcroft, Lee Jewell, Eileen Loughran, Matthew Miller, Mark Molnar, Tracey Packer, Maritza Penagos, Charles Siron, Channing Wayne

Work Group Members Absent: Bill Blum, Ed Chitty, Ken Hornby, Laura Thomas

Others Present: Bill Ledford

Support Staff Present: T.J.Lee (Shanti), Michael Demayo (consultant), Michael Paquette (HPS)

# **Draft Minutes**

## 1. Welcome, Introductions & Announcements - VOTE

- The meeting was called to order at 10:05 am by Co-Chair Miller. Everyone introduced themselves and quorum was established.
- The April 12<sup>th</sup> 2013 DRAFT Minutes were reviewed and approved by consensus.

## 2. Public Comment

None.

## 3. Presentation – Summary of Individual Interviews

- Michael DeMayo presented a summary of his findings from the individual interviews he has conducted with Work Group members (n=14).
- Common themes and highlights were presented.
- Michael needs to schedule and interview a few more group members.

Public Comment: None.

## 4. Presentation - Prevention and Care Mandates

- Eileen Loughran reviewed the slide on HIV Prevention Community Planning Activities that are required as noted in the Community Planning Guidance. Copies of the slide are available upon request.
- Michael DeMayo reviewed the slide on HIV Health Services Planning Activities. Copies of the slide are available upon request.
- Required mandates of each Council were discussed.

Public Comment: None.

## 5. Presentation – Collaboration Examples Nationally

- Michael presented an overview of different national models of collaborative planning.
- He gave specific examples of collaborative planning from New Jersey, South Carolina, Kansas and Alameda County.
- Members discussed pros and cons and whether or not elements of these models could be adapted to SF.

#### Public Comment: None.

#### 6. Break

## 7. Group Exercise

- Michael DeMayo asked the attendees to break into three groups and provided them with butcher paper and markers. The groups were asked to answer and discuss the following questions:
  - Group #1 How are Care and Prevention currently collaborating? How can Care and Prevention collaborate more effectively?
  - o Group #2 What would the ideal collaboration for SF look like?
  - o Group #3 What is the goal of collaboration? How does collaboration move SF towards that goal?
- Michael DeMayo solicited feedback from the three groups and led a discussion of the results.

Public Comment: None.

#### 8. Collaborative Model Selection

- Michael DeMayo charged the group to think about narrowing the possible collaborative models down to 3-4 for further examination at the June retreat.
- He asked the group, "Which model is best suited to achieve the collaborative goals identified?"
- Michael proposed three different levels of integration to consider when thinking about the different models:
  - Option 1 Two separate Councils with one Executive Committee/Steering Committee or some other type of oversight.
  - Option 2 Full integration where one or both existing Councils are dissolved and a new Council is formed.
  - Option 3 Phased-in full integration. Continue to operate independently while integration operations are defined by separate groups with time-specific implementation milestones.

Public Comment: None.

## 9. Next Steps

- Michael DeMayo received the group's permission to go ahead and re-synthesize/rework the proposed models discussed into three or four collaborative models for further discussion at the June retreat.
- Michael DeMayo will send out a doodle request to all Work Group members to schedule the June retreat. Time suggested was 10AM 4PM. Possible dates given were:
  - Wednesday, June 19
  - o Thursday, June 20
  - o Friday, June 21

Public Comment: None.

## 10. Evaluation and Closing

## 11. Adjournment

• The meeting was adjourned at 12:56 pm by Co-Chair Miller.